Message

From: Bacey, Juanita@DTSC [Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov]

Sent: 4/4/2017 8:22:26 PM

To: Kappelman, David [Kappelman.David@epa.gov]; Anita Singh [asingh428@gmail.com]

CC: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]; Nguyen, Lyndsey [Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: North Pier excerpts of resampling in K-40 anomaly areas

Hi,

I agree that the information that has been provided so far is minimal, and what was shared was not complete and the information needed to evaluate it effectively has also been limited. I'm going to reach out to Pat with the Navy today.

Nina

From: Kappelman, David [mailto:Kappelman.David@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 11:53 AM **To:** Anita Singh <asingh428@gmail.com>

Cc: LEE, LILY <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>; Nguyen, Lyndsey <Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov>; Bacey, Juanita@DTSC

<Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: North Pier excerpts of resampling in K-40 anomaly areas

I agree that it will be good to receive a concise summary of the anomalies that CH2m Hill found with their statistics analyses and which of those anomalies that they marked as "false positives". It is difficult to decipher the information from the files that Scott gave you.

Dave

From: Anita Singh [mailto:asingh428@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 2:33 PM

To: Kappelman, David < Kappelman. David@epa.gov >

Cc: LEE, LILY < LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV >; Nguyen, Lyndsey < Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov >; juanita.bacey@dtsc.ca.gov

Subject: Re: North Pier excerpts of resampling in K-40 anomaly areas

Dave and Lily,

Do you have a concise summary of all anomalies found by CH2M?

They (Scott Hay) provided me over 300 files/documents summarizing evaluations for each ROC for each day and sampling phase.

I shared many files with you the other day.

From those documents, it is hard to determine which observations are anomalous.

I reviewed some of their evaluation files and per p-values and KS distances,

it seems like that they found many more anomalies in several other sampling phases (other than Sys-2) and dates (other than 5-31-12 and 6-4-12).

It will be good to get clarification from the Navy on those findings,

Thanks.

On Tue, Apr 4, 2017 at 10:51 AM, Kappelman, David < Kappelman. David@epa.gov > wrote:

I can assist with comparing what Anita finds and what the Navy previously found for anomalies on the North Pier Survey units, but we probably should see what if the CH2M Hill finds any additional anomalies.

Dave

From: LEE, LILY

Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2017 12:25 PM

To: Kappelman, David < Kappelman.David@epa.gov >; Nguyen, Lyndsey < Nguyen.Lyndsey@epa.gov >

Cc: Anita Singh subject: FW: North Pier excerpts of resampling in K-40 anomoly areas">subject: FW: North Pier excerpts of resampling in K-40 anomoly areas

Dear Dave and Lyndsey,

Anita will use her methods to analyze Survey Units 8, 10, and 11 at the North Pier. After she's done with that, could you please compare it to the results found from resampling? I have attached the North Pier Excerpts from the full Tetra Tech resampling description. In addition, In case you need it for context, I have just sent you a share invitation to the full 217 MB file. In addition, below is a link to the same document.

https://usepa-

my.sharepoint.com/personal/lee_lily_epa_gov/Documents/Share%20Hunters%20Point%20Radiation/Investigation%20of%20Anomalous%20Soil%20Samples%20at%20HPNS.pdf

Dear Nina, Can you help in case they need more information about the North Pier?

This 2014 report doesn't have all the data. Those are in the FSSR. Nina from DTSC sent me information about that. While I'm gone, you can ask her for more information/context. She can contact CDPH for you if that helps for context.

In addition, here is a link to the review that CDPH did of the FSSR for the North Pier:

http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/final_documents2.asp?global_id=38440003&doc_id=60383376

I have attached a 2 MB file giving the text only for the FSS for the North Pier. (thanks Nina!) I will separately send you access to the 24 MB version with appendices through Appendix D through One Drive that is the largest version that Nina could send me. Here is a link to this file.

https://usepa-

my.sharepoint.com/personal/lee_lily_epa_gov/Documents/Share%20Hunters%20Point%20Radiation

If you need more, then Nina (Juanita) Bacey has the full electronic version. I am cc'ing her.

In addition, Pat from the Navy stated that the K-S test found all the North Pier anomalies except the Cs-137 on June 4. They are putting it into a format that is easier to understand. They will send that to us soon. Could you please review that in my absence? Feel free to give comments directly to the Navy informally in my absence through phone or email with the appropriate caveats if your communication is internal, pre-decisional, and deliberative. But we'd have to wait for John to return to review and approve if we want to give formal comments.

I appreciate your help!!

From: LEE, LILY

Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 12:28 PM

To: David Kappelman (Kappelman.David@epa.gov) < Kappelman.David@epa.gov>; 'Anita Singh'

<asingh428@gmail.com>

Subject: North Pier excerpts of resampling in K-40 anomoly areas

The Navy found locations where K-40 concentrations were anomalous. In 2012, Tetra Tech resampled areas in the North Pier, under direct Navy oversight. Below is a summary of what they found. Attached are relevant excerpts from the full document. If you want, I can also excerpt the details.

This might help us select any more areas on North Pier where more analysis could be helpful

October 24 through November 28, 2012

Additional Systematic Sampling

From October 24 through November 28, the HPNS team took action to collect systematic samples in these areas to determine if the radionuclide signature of low K-40, Ra-226, and progeny could be replicated. An additional surveillance was conducted by Greg Joyce on October 24, 2012, for B517 SU-002. The surveillance report is contained in Attachment 8. A listing of survey units that warranted further investigation is provided as Table 2. Soil sample survey maps for the former Building 517 Site, Building 707 Triangle Area (707 Area), Shack 79/80, and North Pier are included in Attachment 3.

TABLE 2
SURVEY UNITS RECOMMENDED FOR RESAMPLING

| Area | Survey | Sample Humbers | Date Collected | COC Rediciogical Technician |
|------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| 517 | 2 | 123-158 | 10-Apr-12 | Jeff Rofe |
| 707 | 9 | 59-78 | 08-Jun-11 | Jeff Rolle |
| 707 | 16 | 67.26 | 07.306.11 | Jeff Profe |
| 707 | 17 | 84-83 | 08-Jun-11 | Jeff Rolle |
| 707 | 22 | 81-100 | 12.4 (0.12 | A 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 707 | 23 | 5-24 | 31-Jul-12 | Jeff Rolle |
| To do Fred | 1 | 28.47 | 31.000000 | Roy Roberts |
| North Pier | 7 | 30.49 | 04-Jun-12 | Justin Hubbard |
| North Pier | | 32-51 | 31-4007-12 | Ray Roberson |
| North Pier | 10 | 27.46 | 31-May-12 | Ray Roberson |
| North Pier | 11 | 27.46 | 31-May-12 | Ray Roberson |
| 79/80 | 2 | 3, 5-6, 8-72 | 04-Apr-12 | Je# Rolfe |

Evanishing of North Per

The North Pier had been covered by crushed asphalt at the conclusion of remediation several months earlier; however, it was evident where samples had been collected as part of the investigative process. A test pit was dug to a depth of 3 feet bgs. The soil beneath the asphalt was a mixture of rocks, gravel, and clays, and was not consistent throughout the area. Results from the test pit on the North Pier are shown in the following Table 4, and sampling locations are shown on Figure 3. Photographs are provided in Attachment 10. No results at any depth were comparable to the anomalous soil samples with low concentrations of K-40, Ra-226, and progeny.

TABLE 4
NORTH PIER TEST PIT SAMPLES COLLECTED TO A DEPTH OF 3 FEET

| Sample ID | K-40 (pC)/g) | Fa (25 (p) (g) | Co. 137 (pCl/g) | Bi 234 (pCi/g) | Ph.214 (964) |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| 07A-5804-002 | 13.73 | 0.5723 | Û | 0.5101 | 0.4946 |
| 02ANFR-1100 | 6.796 | 0.3756 | -0.01209 | 0.0923 | 0.2235 |
| 02ANPR-1101 | 9.391 | 0.3323 | -0.008652 | 0.2755 | 0.4686 |
| 02ANPR-1102 | 9.294 | 0.4989 | -0.006876 | 0.4131 | 0.3777 |
| 02ANPR-1103 | 6.227 | 0.3655 | -0.0004954 | 0.09775 | 0.1739 |
| 02ANPR-1104 | 8.076 | 0.3324 | 0 | 0.3696 | 0.2369 |
| 02ANFR-1105 | 8.011 | 0.1466 | 0 | 0.3387 | 0.3623 |
| CZANPR-IIO6 | 10.64 | 0.5653 | -0.006999 | 0.3513 | 0.4925 |
| 02ANFR-1107 | 10.51 | 0.4341 | 0.007666 | 0.3817 | 0.5214 |
| 02ANPR-1108 | 17.77 | 1.359 | 0.01339 | 0.4399 | 0.5899 |
| 02ANPR-1109 | 6.758 | -0.1163 | -0.004885 | 0.1066 | 0.2448 |
| 02ANFR-1110 | 7.900 | 0.4756 | 0.004713 | 0.143 | 0.2897 |
| 02ANPR-1111 | 7.847 | 0.5883 | 0,001557 | 0.3008 | 0.3195 |

Service of Assistance Sci Saught at 800 Sauce

12

Service Conductor

Anita Singh